## Nonconforming/Obsolete Sign Survey\*

City	Replacement Provision for Nonconforming On/Off Premise Signs	Separate Nonconformity Regulations for On- vs. Off- Premise	Mandate Removal for Abandoned Use	Mandate Conformity of Signs When Change of LU/New Development	Require Signs to be Maintained in Good Order	Has Used Amortization for Removal of On/Off- Premise Signs
<b>High Point</b>	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
Winston Salem	YES	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES
Raleigh	YES, FOR LANDMARK SIGNS ONLY	YES	NO	NO, NOT NEEDED DUE TO PAST AMORTIZATION	NO	YES
Durham	YES, FOR LANDMARK SIGNS ONLY	YES, RELATES TO AMORTIZATION PROVISIONS.	YES	YES	YES	YES
Guilford County	NO	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
Charlotte	NO	YES, BUT IS A CURRENT "WORK IN PROGRESS"	YES, ONLY WHEN SPECIFIED AS A CONDITION IN THE REZONING	NO, DUE TO SIGNS BEING PART OF CONDITIONAL ZONING	YES	YES
Greensboro	YES, OFF- PREMISE SIGN REPLACEMENT ALLOWED ONLY IN CB OVERLAY WITH DEMOLITION; MUST MEET CRITERIA	NO	YES	YES	YES	NO

<sup>\*</sup> Should be used for informational purposes only.